**EXHIBIT A** 

#### Dpo 5 43654 91 Enterned 1 1/00/1972 1907 21:46 Page 12 opto (C)55000601(C)5294Kgr



**Entered on Docket** October 31, 2007

Hon. Linda B. Riegle **United States Bankruptcy Judge** 

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

### LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996 Facsimile (702) 949-8321

Telephone (702) 949-8320 8

> Susan M. Freeman AZ State Bar No. 004199 Email: sfreeman@lrlaw.com Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593

Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024

Email: jhindera@lrlaw.com

11 Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

12

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

In re: 14

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE 15 COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,

USA SECURITIES, LLC,

Debtors.

22

23

24

#### Affects:

□All Debtors

☑ USA Commercial Mortgage Company

☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC

☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC

☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

☐ USA Securities, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR

Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR

Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

Date of Hearing: October 15, 2007

Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

26

25

# ORDER SUSTAINING THE USACM LIQUIDATING TRUST'S OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 10725-02463 FILED BY THE PEDRO L. AND CAROL A. BARROSO TRUST, DATED 11/29/90 AS IMPROPERLY ASSERTING PRIORITY STATUS

The Pedro L. and Carol A. Barroso Trust, dated 11/29/90 filed Proof of Claim No. 10725-02463, which asserted a priority claim against USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM").

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") filed an Objection [DE 4243] (the "Objection"), which challenged the Pedro L. and Carol A. Barroso Trust, dated 11/29/90's assertion of priority status and sought to have the Court reclassify Proof of Claim No. 10725-02463 as a general unsecured claim.

Simultaneously with the Objection, the USACM Trust filed its Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding (1) Responses to the USACM Trust's First Through Thirty-Third Omnibus Objections to Claims Asserting a Secured Status; (2) Responses to USACM Trust's First through Third Omnibus Objections to Claims Asserting a Priority Status; and (3) Newly Alleged Secured and Priority Claims (the "Motion") [DE 4291]. The Motion sought summary judgment on the Objection and asked that the Court sustain the Objection.

Appropriate notice of the Objection and the Motion was provided to the Pedro L. and Carol A. Barroso Trust, dated 11/29/90.

The Pedro L. and Carol A. Barroso Trust, dated 11/29/90 did not respond to the Objection or the Motion.

At a hearing on October 15, 2007, the Objection and the Motion came before the Court. The Pedro L. and Carol A. Barroso Trust, dated 11/29/90 did not appear at the hearing to contest the Objection or the Motion.

///

2 228810.1

1 Based upon the foregoing and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 2 Granting the Motion [DE 4291] as it relates to Proof of Claim No. 10725-3 1. 02463 filed by the Pedro L. and Carol A. Barroso Trust, dated 11/29/90; 4 Sustaining the Objection [DE 4243]; and 2. 5 3. Reclassifying Proof of Claim No. 10725-02463 filed by the Pedro L. and 6 7 Carol A. Barroso Trust, dated 11/29/90 as a general unsecured claim subject to further objection by the USACM Liquidating Trust. 8 ### 9 PREPARED AND SUBMITTED: 10 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP 11 12 /s/ RC (#0006593) By:\_ Susan M. Freeman (pro hac vice) 13 **Rob Charles** John Hinderaker (pro hac vice) 14 Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

3 228810.1

1	CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 9021	
2	In accordance with Local Rule 9021, the undersigned certifies:	
3		The Court waived the requirements of LR 9021.
4 5		I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and any trustee appointed in this case, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed
6		to respond, as indicated below:
7		approved the form of this order;
8		$\square$ waived the right to review the order;
9		☐ failed to file and serve papers in accordance with LR 9021(c); and/or
10		failed to sign and return this proposed order within five (5) business days in accordance with LR 9021(b).
11		I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all attorneys and unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing regarding this matter and/or who filed a written objection and all have either approved the form of this order, waived the
12 13		right to review the order, failed to file and serve papers in accordance with LR 9021(c) and the following have disapproved the form of the order.
14	X	No opposition was filed and no other party or counsel appeared at the hearing.
15	LEWIS AND ROCA LLP	
16	By:/s/ RC (#0006593)	
17	Susan M. Freeman Rob Charles	
18	A C TICACIATI'' TO A	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

4 228810.1